UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS • P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/902,026	07/10/2001	Suhayya Abu-Hakima	8303/3	9283
	7590 11/02/200 BOEHNEN HULBER	EXAMINER		
300 S. WACKER DRIVE			HANNE, SARA M	
32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL	60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,	2179	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/902,026	ABU-HAKIMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sara M. Hanne	2179				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a swill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON (6), cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A	August 2007.					
·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a condition.	· ·	•				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,8,11-13,15 and 16</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,8,11-13,15 and 16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>4/16/07</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	J Office Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pric	•	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	tor the certified copies flot	· ·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to the amendment received 8/14/07. Examiner notes pending claims 1, 4-6, 8, 11-13 and 15-16.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: step (b) occurs twice. Also at the end of step (e) it states "includes said learned dynamic information of; and," These are believed to be typographical errors. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 4-5, 8, 11-12 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Millier et al., US Patent 5899995, hereinafter Millier.

As in Claims 1 and 8, Millier teaches an electronic document viewer system for personalized presentation to a user of a plurality of electronic documents input from a source, said system comprising:

a knowledge base comprising a plurality of concepts, themes, sub-concepts and/or sub-themes (hierarchy stored in memory),

Application/Control Number: 09/902,026

Art Unit: 2179

a user preferences knowledge base comprising preferences information personal to the user (voting or past usage Col. 3, line 18 et seq.),

a concept recognizer component configured for using the knowledge base for recognizing concepts, themes and sub-concepts, sub-themes associated with content of documents (ref. 230 Feature Recognizer), a user preferences knowledge base comprising preferences information personal to said user (Fig. 2B, ref. 240),

a concept learner component configured for automatically learning dynamic information pertaining to the user based on prior actions of the user automatically sensed by an environment sensor for input to the knowledge base (Column 3, lines 18 et seq.),

a prioritization analyzer component configured for dynamic ordering of the recognized concepts, themes and sub-concepts, sub-themes with the documents associated therewith (Fig. 8, 9 and corresponding text), according to priorities of the user determined from the preferences information (Col. 4, line 10 et seq.), wherein preferences information includes learned dynamic information (Col. 3, lines 19-34) and

a viewer component configured for presenting on an electronic display a first hierarchical level of multiple levels of prioritized concept identifiers interlinked according to a hierarchical structure based on said ordering (Fig. 2A), wherein each concept identifier represents the documents associated therewith and a concept, theme, subconcept, sub-theme (Col. 5) and for presenting on the electronic display in turn one or more in turn (Col. 6, line 10) lower hierarchical levels of the hierarchical structure of

Application/Control Number: 09/902,026

Art Unit: 2179

prioritized concept identifiers upon selection thereof by the user from a concept identifier presented on the electronic display (Col. 5, line 55 et seq.).

As in Claims 4 and 11, Millier teaches an input document processing component configured for outputting a static document map corresponding to the input electronic documents (Col. 3, line 48 et seq.).

As in Claims 5 and 12, Millier teaches a highlighter component configured for identifying key content of said input document on the basis of said document map used by concept recognizer component (Col. 3, line 60 et seq.).

As in Claims 15 and 16, Millier teaches the viewer component configured for selectively presenting on the display, upon selection of one of the concept identifiers by the use, the next lower hierarchical level of concept identifiers (Col. 5, line 55 et seq.).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Art Unit: 2179

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Millier et al., US Patent 5899995, hereinafter Millier, and further in view of Hyatt, US Patent 6678602.

In reference to Claims 6 and 13, Millier teaches identification of key content within an input document and creation of a static document map for creating a hierarchical configuration based on user's priorities and identified concepts (see rejections *supra*). While Millier teaches the viewer system and method for creating the hierarchy, recognizing concepts and themes, creating document maps and identifying key content, Millier fails to show displaying a predetermined amount of key content for a document corresponding to the particulars presented by the viewer component when a cursor operated by a user is positioned in the area of the particulars as recited in the claims. In the same field of the invention, Hyatt teaches a hierarchy configuration method similar to that of Millier. In addition, Hyatt further teaches displaying a predetermined amount of data for the node with which the cursor position corresponds ("provide a supplementary 'bubble' of information on the display when a mouse cursor is positioned on a node of interest", Column 13, lines 26-28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Millier and Hyatt before him at

Art Unit: 2179

the time the invention was made, to modify the viewer system and method for creating the hierarchy as taught by Millier to include the proximity selection of nodes to display a given amount of key data of Hyatt, in order to obtain a preview for a node document that may be in consideration by the user. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because a method for previewing the information without opening the document would have been obtained so as to determine whether or not the user is interested in the document, as taught by Hyatt.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/14/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The arguments filed 8/14/07 are identical to the ones filed 8/23/06 and therefore where addressed in the office action dated 11/14/06.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/902,026

Art Unit: 2179

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sara M. Hanne whose telephone number is (571) 272-4135. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30am-4:00pm, off on alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WEILUN LO can be reached on (571) 272-4847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

smh

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER